

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,998	08/16/2004	Anand Shridhar SAWANT	TI-36864	4997	
23494 TEXAS INSTE	7590 12/10/200 RUMENTS INCORPO	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			MORRISON, JAY A		
DALLAS, TX	75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2168	•	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/10/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

uspto@ti.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/710,998	SAWANT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAY A. MORRISON	2168		

	JAY A. MORRISON	2168						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR.41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR.41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR.41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR.41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS  2	is prior to the date of filling a brief							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);         (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);     </li> </ol>								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	d Can attacked blades of blan Can		OTOL 204)					
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (i	PTOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [         how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov     </li> </ol>		be entered and an e	planation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-52</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See continuation sheet.</u>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Tim T. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168								

With respect to Applicant's argument that Suzuki does not disclose "the file open operation traverses a file access table (FAT) of the file system to determine a sequence of clusters allocated to the file", it is respectfully submitted that the aforementioned reference does disclose these limitations as shown on page 4 of the Final Action mailed 11/22/09.

With respect to Applicant's argument that Harmer does not disclose "clusters of files stored in FAT table which is cached in RAM", it is respectfully submitted that the aforementioned reference does disclose these limitations as shown on page 3 of the Final Action mailed 11/22/09.

With respect to Applicant's argument that Harmer does not disclose "the cluster identifiers are store in the buffer such that each cluster identifier is locatable by an index computed using a cluster size and a start offset of data in the file", it is respectfully submitted that the aforementioned reference does disclose these limitations as shown on page 4 of the Final Action mailed 11/22/09.

With respect to Applicant's argument that Harmer does not disclose "accessing the file using a file access operation comprised in a file data processing module losded in the shared execution portion, wherein the data processing module vorelays at least a portion of the metadata processing module", it is respectfully submitted that the aforementioned reference does disclose these limitations as shown on page 3 of the First Action mailed 11/22/09.